

1221 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020-1089 212.768.6700 212.768.6800 fax www.sonnenschein.com

Carole Neville 212 768-6689 cneville@sonnenschein.com@sonnenschein.com

January 28, 2008

VIA HAND DELIVERY
Honorable Colleen McMahon
United States District Court
Southern District of New York
500 Pearl Street
New York, N.Y. 10007

U.S. v. James G. Marquez 06 Cr. 1138

U.S. v. Daniel E. Marino 05 Cr. 1036 U.S. v. Samuel Israel III 05 Cr 1039

Dear Judge McMahon:

I write on behalf of 24 investor defendants (the "Sonnenschein Investors") in response to the letter dated January 25, 2008 from the United States Attorney proposing substantial modifications to the Court's directive at the sentencing of James Marquez on January 22, 2008 and a letter dated January 28, 2008 from the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors in Bayou bankruptcy cases supporting those changes. We respectfully urge the Court not to reconsider its directive.

At the Marquez sentencing, this Court devised a mechanism to ensure that all victims of the Bayou fund fraud would have equal access on a pro rata basis to a Bayou Victims Restitution Fund. The Court directed the Government to create two lists--one for the thirty-five defendants in the adversary proceedings remaining to be resolved and one for the investors who either lost money or settled the claims against them. The Court further directed that the escrow would be held until the adversary proceedings are judicially determined or settled, and if appeals were pursued in any of the adversary proceeding, then a separate reserve would be held for those appealing defendants. The United States Attorney's proposal departs from this directive in a number of important respects.

The Government proposes that a restitution order be entered listing as victims only those who have sustained economic loss at the date of the loss or the date of the sentencing. It is unclear whether the Government is including on that proposed list those investors who have

Brussels

Charlotte

Chicago

Dallas

Kansas City

Los Angeles

New York

Phoenix

St. Louis



January 28, 2008 Page 2

already settled with the representative of the Bayou estates. The remainder of the investors would have to petition the Court to amend the restitution order. In order to qualify for an amended restitution order, the Government cautions, these victims would have to establish that such future losses are "actual losses directly and proximately caused by the offenses of conviction." As a result, the Government puts the burden (a higher burden) on the investors who settle with the Bayou representative (there is no trustee) or who are forced to turnover their redemption payment because of the provisions in the Bankruptcy Code from the date of the order in order to qualify for a distribution.

The Court's directive also allowed for a period before distribution from the Victims Restitution Fund to assess recoveries for non redeeming investors in bankruptcy cases to prevent double recovery or double dipping. The Mandatory Victim's Restitution Act of 1996 (the "MVRA") contemplates such an adjustment in situations where, as here, there are parallel proceedings to address the losses as it provides in 18 U.S.C. § 3664(i)(2)(a):

- (2) Any amount paid to a victim under an order of restitution shall be reduced by any amount later recovered as compensatory damages for the same loss by the victim in--
 - (A) any Federal civil proceeding;

The Government proposal for an immediate distribution does not allow for this coordination between the bankruptcy recoveries and the restitution fund distribution.

Finally, the cases cited by the Government do not address the situation of the Bayou cases where the investors are known, the dollar amounts at stake are also known, and there are parallel proceedings to address investor losses. Both *United States v*, Catoggio, 326 F.3d 323 (2d Cir. 2003) and United States v. Boccagne, 450 F.3d 107 (2d Cir. 2006) are cases in which the criminal defendant was challenging amount required by the restitution order. Valuation with respect to property in Boccagne and losses of unidentified victims in Catoggio were at issue because the defendant sought to have restitution reduced. The situation in these cases is radically different.

¹ These settlements created a fund in the bankruptcy estates for distribution to non redeeming investors. There are thirty five remaining adversary proceedings in which the Bayou representatives are seeking to recover approximately \$90 million.

Carole nurlle



January 28, 2008 Page 3

For the reasons set forth above and in our prior submissions to the Court, we respectfully request that the Court reject the modifications suggested by the Government and the Committee and implement the directive announced at the Marquez sentencing.

Sincerely,

Carole Neville

cc: (via facsimile on the parties listed below)

Gary J. Mennitt

H. Jeffrey Schwartz

Dechert LLP

K & L Gates

Elise Scherr Frejka Tracy L. Klestadt

Klestadt & Winters, LLP

Philip M. Guess

Richard A. Kirby

ю

Joseph A. Gershman Robert M. Novick Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman, LLP

Richard B. Feldman

Rosenberg Feldman Smith, LLP

Michael L. Cook

Marcy R. Harris

Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP

Charles A. Gilman

Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP

Gregory P. Schwed

Loeb & Loeb LLP

Joseph W. Allen

Jaeckle Fleischmann & Mugel, LLP

Andrew Bruce Bowman

Law Offices of Andrew B. Bowman

Lawrence S. Bader

Morvillo, Abramowitz, Grand, Jason, Anello & Bohrer P.C.

Bradley Drew Simon

Simon & Partners LLP

Stanley A. Twardy

Day Berry & Howard LLP

Doreen Klein

Oxman Tulis Kirkpatrick Whyatt & Geiger LLP

Stuart Evan Kahan Heidi J. Sorvino

Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP

Jeff J. Friedman Qubilah A. Davis

Seward & Kissel LLP

M. William Munno

Case 1:05-cr-01039-CM

Document 41

Filed 01/28/2008

Page 4 of 4

Sonnenschein sonnenschein nath & Rosenthal LLP

January 28, 2008 Page 4

cc: (continued)

John McFerrin-Clancy

Bruce S. Nathan

Paul D. Sinclair

Andrew J. Nazar

Merrill G. Davidoff

Margery B. Feinzig

Lowenstein Sandler, PC

Shughart, Thomson & Kilroy PC

Berger & Montague, P.C.

Chief AUSA - White Plains Division